

[Counsel Signatures Appear at the End]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC.

Defendant.

Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA

**PARTIES' JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE  
REQUEST TO FILE DOCUMENTS  
UNDER SEAL**

Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor  
Judge: Honorable William H. Alsup

1 Plaintiff Oracle America, Inc. ("Oracle") hereby requests permission to file the following  
2 documents under seal pursuant to Federal Rule of Court Civil Procedure 26(c) and Civil Local  
3 Rules 3-17(d), 7-11, and 79-5:

4  
5 1. Exhibit 16 to the Declaration of Daniel Purcell in Support of Google, Inc.'s  
6 Motions in Limine.

7 2. Exhibit 18 to the Declaration of Daniel Purcell in Support of Google, Inc.'s  
8 Motions in Limine.

9 3. Exhibit 19 to the Declaration of Daniel Purcell in Support of Google, Inc.'s  
10 Motions in Limine.

11 4. Exhibit 3-9 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
12 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

13 5. Exhibit 3-10 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
14 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

15 6. The portions of Oracle's Opposition to Google's Motion in Limine No. 3  
16 containing the above information.

17 7. The portions of Google's Motion in Limine No. 3 containing the above  
18 information.

19  
20 The documents listed above contain information that has been designated by Plaintiff  
21 Oracle America, Inc. ("Oracle") as Confidential or Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only  
22 pursuant to the Order Approving Stipulated Protective Order Subject to Stated Conditions entered  
23 in this case. (Dkt. No. 68.) Google, Inc. ("Google") states no position as to whether disclosure of  
24 materials marked by Oracle as Confidential or Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only  
25 material would cause harm to Oracle, and would not oppose an order requiring Oracle to make  
26 that information public. Plaintiff submits herewith the Declaration of Daniel P. Muino in Support  
27 of Plaintiff's Administrative Request to File Under Seal.

1 Defendant Google hereby requests permission to file the following documents under seal  
2 pursuant to Federal Rule of Court Civil Procedure 26(c) and Civil Local Rules 3-17(d), 7-11, and  
3 79-5:

4  
5 1. Exhibit E to the Declaration of Daniel Muino in Support of Oracle America, Inc.'s  
6 Motions in Limine 1 through 5.

7 2. Exhibit F to the Declaration of Daniel Muino in Support of Oracle America, Inc.'s  
8 Motions in Limine 1 through 5.

9 3. Exhibit K to the Declaration of Daniel Muino in Support of Oracle America, Inc.'s  
10 Motions in Limine 1 through 5.

11 4. Exhibit N to the Declaration of Daniel Muino in Support of Oracle America, Inc.'s  
12 Motions in Limine 1 through 5.

13 5. Exhibit P to the Declaration of Daniel Muino in Support of Oracle America, Inc.'s  
14 Motions in Limine 1 through 5.

15 6. Exhibit Q to the Declaration of Daniel Muino in Support of Oracle America, Inc.'s  
16 Motions in Limine 1 through 5.

17 7. Exhibit 1-1 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
18 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

19 8. Exhibit 1-2 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
20 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

21 9. Exhibit 1-3 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
22 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

23 10. Exhibit 1-4 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
24 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

25 11. Exhibit 1-5 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
26 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

27 12. Exhibit 1-6 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
28 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

1           13.     Exhibit 1-7 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
2 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

3           14.     Exhibit 1-8 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
4 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

5           15.     Exhibit 1-9 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
6 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

7           16.     Exhibit 1-10 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
8 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

9           17.     Exhibit 2-3 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
10 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

11          18.     Exhibit 2-4 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
12 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

13          19.     Exhibit 2-5 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
14 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

15          20.     Exhibit 2-14 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
16 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

17          21.     Exhibit 2-15 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
18 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

19          22.     Exhibit 2-16 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
20 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

21          23.     Exhibit 3-4 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
22 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

23          24.     Exhibit 3-6 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
24 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

25          25.     Exhibit 3-7 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
26 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

27          26.     Exhibit 3-8 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
28 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

1           27.     Exhibit 5-4 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
2 Inc.'s Opposition to Google's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

3           28.     Exhibit 10 to the Declaration of Daniel Purcell in Support of Google, Inc.'s  
4 Motions in Limine.

5           29.     Exhibit 15 to the Declaration of Daniel Purcell in Support of Google, Inc.'s  
6 Motions in Limine.

7           30.     Exhibit 16 to the Declaration of Daniel Purcell in Support of Google, Inc.'s  
8 Motions in Limine.

9           31.     Exhibit 30 to the Declaration of Daniel Purcell in Support of Google, Inc.'s  
10 Motions in Limine.

11          32.     Exhibit 32 to the Declaration of Daniel Purcell in Support of Google, Inc.'s  
12 Motions in Limine

13          33.     The portions of Oracle's Motion in Limine 2 containing the above information.

14          34.     The portions of Oracle's Motion in Limine 3 containing the above information.

15          35.     The portions of Oracle's Motion in Limine 4 containing the above information.

16          36.     The portions of Oracle's Motion in Limine 5 containing the above information.

17          37.     The portions of Oracle's Opposition to Google's Motion in Limine 1 containing  
18 the above information.

19          38.     The portions of Oracle's Opposition to Google's Motion in Limine 2 containing  
20 the above information.

21          39.     The portions of Oracle's Opposition to Google's Motion in Limine 3 containing  
22 the above information.

23          40.     The portions of Oracle's Opposition to Google's Motion in Limine 4 containing  
24 the above information.

25          41.     The portions of Oracle's Opposition to Google's Motion in Limine 5 containing  
26 the above information.

27          42.     The portions of Google's Motion in Limine 3 containing the above information.

1 The documents listed above contain information that has been designated by Defendant  
2 Google as Confidential or Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only pursuant to the Order  
3 Approving Stipulated Protective Order Subject to Stated Conditions entered in this case. (Dkt.  
4 No. 68.) Oracle states no position as to whether disclosure of materials marked by Google as  
5 Confidential or Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only material would cause harm to  
6 Google, and would not oppose an order requiring Google to make that information public.

7 Defendant submits herewith the Declaration of Reid Mullan in Support of Plaintiff’s  
8 Administrative Request to File Under Seal.

9  
10 The documents listed below contain information that has been designated by Motorola,  
11 Inc. (“Motorola”) as Confidential or Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only pursuant to the  
12 Order Approving Stipulated Protective Order Subject to Stated Conditions entered in this case.  
13 (Dkt. No. 68.):

14  
15 1. Exhibit 5-4 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America,  
16 Inc.’s Opposition to Google’s Motions in Limine Nos. 1 through 5.

17 2. The portions of Oracle’s Opposition to Google’s Motion in Limine No. 5  
18 containing the above information.

19  
20 Oracle states no position as to whether disclosure of materials marked by Motorola as  
21 Confidential or Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only material would cause harm to  
22 Motorola, and would not oppose an order requiring Motorola to make that information public.

23 Motorola will be notified that, pursuant to Civil Local rule 79-5(d), it must file a  
24 declaration supporting the sealability of the above-listed documents and a proposed sealing order.

1 Dated: October 7, 2011

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

2  
3 By: /s/ Daniel P. Muino  
Daniel P. Muino

4 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
5 MICHAEL A. JACOBS (Bar No. 111664)  
mjacobs@mofo.com  
6 MARC DAVID PETERS (Bar No. 211725)  
mdpeters@mofo.com  
7 DANIEL P. MUINO (Bar No. 209624)  
dmuino@mofo.com  
8 755 Page Mill Road  
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1018  
Telephone: (650) 813-5600  
9 Facsimile: (650) 494-0792

10 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
11 DAVID BOIES (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
dboies@bsfllp.com  
12 333 Main Street  
Armonk, NY 10504  
Telephone: (914) 749-8200  
13 Facsimile: (914) 749-8300  
STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (Bar No. 144177)  
14 sholtzman@bsfllp.com  
1999 Harrison St., Suite 900  
15 Oakland, CA 94612  
Telephone: (510) 874-1000  
16 Facsimile: (510) 874-1460

17 ORACLE CORPORATION  
18 DORIAN DALEY (Bar No. 129049)  
dorian.daley@oracle.com  
19 DEBORAH K. MILLER (Bar No. 95527)  
deborah.miller@oracle.com  
20 MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA (Bar No.  
211600)  
matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com  
21 500 Oracle Parkway  
Redwood City, CA 94065  
22 Telephone: (650) 506-5200  
23 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114

24 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
ORACLE AMERICA, INC.

1 Dated: October 7, 2011

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP

2 By: /s/ Matthias Kamber  
3 Matthias Kamber

4 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP  
5 ROBERT A. VAN NEST (SBN 84065)  
rvannest@kvn.com  
6 CHRISTA M. ANDERSON (SBN184325)  
canderson@kvn.com  
7 DANIEL PURCELL (SBN 191424)  
dpurcell@kvn.com  
8 633 Battery Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 391-5400  
9 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

10 SCOTT T. WEINGAERTNER (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
sweingaertner@kslaw.com  
11 ROBERT F. PERRY  
rperry@kslaw.com  
12 BRUCE W. BABER (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
bbaber@kslaw.com  
13 KING & SPALDING LLP  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
14 New York, NY 10036-4003  
Telephone: (212) 556-2100  
15 Facsimile: (212) 556-2222

16 DONALD F. ZIMMER, JR. (SBN 112279)  
fzimmer@kslaw.com  
17 CHERYL A. SABNIS (SBN 224323)  
csabnis@kslaw.com  
18 KING & SPALDING LLP  
101 Second Street - Suite 2300  
19 San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (415) 318-1200  
20 Facsimile: (415) 318-1300

21 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP  
IAN C. BALLON (SBN 141819)  
ballon@gtlaw.com  
22 HEATHER MEEKER (SBN 172148)  
meekerh@gtlaw.com  
23 1900 University Avenue  
24 East Palo Alto, CA 94303  
Telephone: (650) 328-8500  
25 Facsimile: (650) 328-8508

26 *Attorneys for Defendant*  
27 GOOGLE INC.  
28



**ATTESTATION**

I, Daniel P. Muino, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file the **PARTIES' JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE REQUEST TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL** In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Matthias Kamber has concurred in this filing.

Dated: October 7, 2011

/s/ Daniel P. Muino  
Daniel P. Muino